



Submission on the Proposed Regional Coastal Plan 2016

Return your signed submission to the West Coast Regional Council by 5.00pm, Monday 21 March 2016

Submissions may be:

- a) Posted to: Proposed RPS, West Coast Regional Council, PO Box 66, Greymouth 7840
- b) Delivered direct to the West Coast Regional Council at 388 Main South Road, Greymouth
- c) Emailed to rqp@wrc.govt.nz
- d) Sent by facsimile (03) 768 7133

PART A: Submitters contact details

Public information - all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by the West Coast Regional Council in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.

Full name: Troy Coyle

Organisation: New Zealand Steel

[The organisation that this submission is on behalf of, if applicable]

Postal address: Private Bag 92121, Auckland **Post Code:** 1142

Email: troy.coyle@bluescopesteel.com **Phone (Hm):** _____ **Phone (Wk):** 021994323

Phone (Cell): 021994323 **Preferred method of contact:** Email or Telephone

Contact person and address for service [if different from above]:

PART B: Trade Competition

As per Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement that:

- a) Adversely affects the environment
- b) Does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

I **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

Signature: _____
[Signature of person making submission, or authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission]

Date: 7 March 2016

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

PART C: Request to be Heard

- I **do** wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
- I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any Hearing.

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:	My submission is that: <i>(State concisely whether you support or oppose each separate provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made, and the reasons for your views)</i>	I seek the following amendments from the West Coast Regional Council: <i>(Give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be, the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>
Schedule 10 (Page 170)	NZ Steel does not support the limits set for zinc as there is no demonstrated evidence of environmental effects or baseline data relating to zinc concentrations. Therefore, there is no demonstrated need for the limit.	NZ Steel requests removal of references to zinc limits. If the limit is to remain, it should be specified in the wording where this limit is to be applied, <i>i.e.</i> in receiving waters, coastal waters? There are currently two Schedule 10s in this document, one on page 169 and the other on page 170. This requires amendment and references updated in Section 8.3.3 accordingly.
Section 8.3.3 (Page 31)	NZ Steel would like to have statements relating to zinc levels removed or justified by supporting data. This is a requirement for evidence-based policy and rules.	NZ Steel would like to see information relating to environmental effects in local taxa and justification for the proposed zinc limits referenced. This includes referencing source data to support the statement that limits are “ <i>nationally recognised standards for water quality</i> ”.
General comment	NZ does not support the omission of costs/benefit analyses of implementing the proposed zinc limits.	NZ Steel requests that a cost/benefit analysis of the proposed zinc limits is undertaken.

Attach further sheets as required

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:	My submission is that: <i>(State concisely whether you support or oppose each separate provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made, and the reasons for your views)</i>	I seek the following amendments from the West Coast Regional Council: <i>(Give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be, the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>

Attach further sheets as required

Attach further sheets as required