



THE WEST COAST  
REGIONAL COUNCIL

# Submission on the Proposed Regional Coastal Plan 2016

**Return your signed submission to the West Coast Regional Council by 5.00pm, Monday 21 March 2016**

Submissions may be:

- a) Posted to: Proposed RPS, West Coast Regional Council, PO Box 66, Greymouth 7840
- b) Delivered direct to the West Coast Regional Council at 388 Main South Road, Greymouth
- c) Emailed to [rgp@wrc.govt.nz](mailto:rgp@wrc.govt.nz)
- d) Sent by facsimile (03) 768 7133

**PART A: Submitters contact details**

**Public information** - all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by the West Coast Regional Council in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.

Full name: Ben Richard Reynolds

Organisation: \_\_\_\_\_  
*[The organisation that the submission is on behalf of, if applicable]*

Postal address: 114 Cassill Rd Kot1 Greymouth Post Code: 7873

Email: bennyreynolds@xtra.co.nz Phone (Hm): \_\_\_\_\_ Phone (Wk): 0274 182846

Phone (Call): \_\_\_\_\_ Preferred method of contact: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact person and address for service [if different from above]: \_\_\_\_\_

**PART B: Trade Competition**

As per Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement that:

- a) Adversely affects the environment
- b) Does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you have ticked this box, please select one of the following:
  - I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission.
  - I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission.

Signature:

  
*Signature of person making submission, or authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission*

Date:

  
18/3/16

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

**PART C: Request to be Heard**

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
  - I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any Hearing.

Submission on Coastal Marine Areas.

Richard Reynolds

114 Cargills Rd

Barrytown

7873 Runanga

My Name is Richard Reynolds i own a farm in Barrytown that has a large sea frontage with some areas of the foreshore being on my legal title. I have a personal and financial interest in the sustainable use and development of the coastal marine area.

I am writing in support of the existing legislations especially the following areas

- Permitted use of beach for stock and vehicle movement, there are at present three farmers that on limited occasions use the beach to drove stock from one area to another, this has no environmental effect and is an occasional use that keeps stock of public roads.
- Clearing of river mouths as a permitted activity, we have a creek that has blocked once in the last seven years and needed clearing the requirement to notified the counsel is workable, i fell that the list of creeks that can be cleared may need to be increased.
- Removal of gravel for personal use. If removed in small quantities over an extended period either above the high tide mark or more than 3 meters from the foot of bank seems to have little effect. This is a valuable resource that we need to be able to keep using.

I would also support that stock should be fenced off from the high water mark, if this is a requirement the fence at time of erection should be a meter back. This provides a buffer for erosion and also provides for the opportunity to move the fence closer to the sea if land is created in the future.

I hope that my submission has been clear and helpful

Yours sincerely

Richard Reynolds

