

**Further Submission
on the
Proposed Coastal Plan 2016**

Name: Frida Inta
Address: P O Box 463,
Westport,
Buller 7866.
Email: karearea.f@gmail.com
Phone: 03 782 1813
**Preferred method
of contact;** Email

I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest

My interest in the proposed plan is greater than that which the general public has

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission



15th July 2016

I wish to be heard in support of my submission and would be prepared to speak jointly with other submitters of similar submission.

In general any submissions that support, or have a similar outlook to that of Frida Inta are supported.

I support most of Forest and Bird's, West Coast Penguin Trust's submissions, support to a large extent that of DOC and much of Te Tunanga o Ngai Tahu.

Oppose almost all of Federated Farmers' submission.

Neutral on a number of submissions.

Importantly, the regional policy statement needs to be a working document, not just a proposal, before other plan changes are started.

| | | |
|-----------------------|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| GS 6, 4.5, 3.53 | 5 | <u>oppose</u> - farmers and their stock and any farm vehicles used in a commercial way should not be permitted on beaches. Such a proposal is contrary to RMA s6. The beach is not a highway for farmers to access their property. This historical, "right", needs to be investigated and addressed. There should be clear restrictions on on farming activities within ONFL and ONC areas.. |
| GS 7 | 6 | <u>support in part</u> - depending on RMA requirements. |
| GS 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 | 19 | <u>support</u> |
| GS 14, 16 | 25 | <u>support</u> Also, that the regional policy statement is the overarching policy of the region should require that that document is in place before any other plan changes are started. |
| GS 24, 25, 26 7,13 | 24 | <u>support</u> |
| 3.66 | 5 | <u>oppose</u> . Positive economic benefits cannot mitigate adverse effects on the environment |
| 3.74, 11.2, 15.2 | 4 | <u>support</u> |
| 4.14 | 5 | <u>oppose</u> |
| 8.1 | 2 | <u>support</u> |
| 8.12 | 10 | <u>support</u> These are extra criteria that could be considered. |
| 15.3 | 19 | <u>support</u> |
| NS 1 | 3 | support in part. "Include an new schedule <u>that includes but is not exclusive:</u> " |